

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,243	10/25/2005	Anthony Anthony	X2YA0030UPCT-US	6566
31518 NEIFELD IP I	7590 03/19/200 AW. PC	EXAMINER		
4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE			JACKSON, STEPHEN W	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

general@neifeld.com rneifeld@neifeld.com rhahl@neifeld.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/554,243	ANTHONY, ANTHONY			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Stephen W. Jackson	2836			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A			

Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF nissions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(a), in 15 (b) (d) MCNTHS from the maining date of this communication. 15 (b) (d) MCNTHS from the maining date of the communication period will apply a period for reply a specified above, the monitemin statutory period will apply a reply received by the Office later than three monites after the maining date of the deplanet term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	F THIS COMMUNICATION. to event, however, may a reply be timely filed md will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)🖂	N Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2007.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□ :	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) \(\sigma \)	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT	,				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the of	ertified copies not received.				
Attachment						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-19-07.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,243

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-74 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 7,113,383.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because differences between the two sets of claims are minor design variations related to the overlap of the electrodes, with such a feature not seen to involve an inventive step when the abilities of persons of ordinary skill in the art are taken into consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,243 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SWJackson

March 3, 2008 /Stephen W Jackson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836